Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,995	SPENCER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NELSON YANG	1641		

	NELSON YANG	1641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejectio	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		OTOL 224)	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al 	:	•	,	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7,8,10-13 and 15-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,6,9 and 14.	will not be entered, or b) □ w			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		•		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:				
/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: while the amendment of the claims to recite the limitation of selecting a speed at which the substrate will be exposed based on the absorption kinetics of the first substrate would overcome the rejections of record, further consideration and search would be necessary to determine the patentability of the amended claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amended claims have not been entered, and the original claims remain rejected for reasons stated in the prior office action.